ISSN 2277-2685
IJESR/April-June. 2025/ Vol-15/Issue-2/193-199
Nikhil Thawani *et. al.*, / International Journal of Engineering & Science Research

Comparative Seismic Assessment Of High-Rise Structures Using Dynamic Analysis Techniques

Nikhil Thawani[1], Dr. Shree Ram Malani[2]
Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur[1]
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur[2]

ABSTRACT
The seismic resilience of high-rise buildings is paramount, especially in seismically active regions. This review synthesizes past research focusing on the application of Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA) and Time History Analysis (THA) in evaluating the seismic performance of tall structures. By examining various studies, this paper highlights the methodologies employed, key findings, and the comparative effectiveness of RSA and THA. The analysis reveals that while RSA offers a simplified approach suitable for preliminary design, THA provides a more detailed insight into structural responses under seismic loading. The integration of both methods, along with considerations for structural irregularities and soil-structure interaction, enhances the accuracy of seismic performance evaluations. This comprehensive review aims to guide future research and practical applications in the seismic assessment of high-rise buildings.
Keywords*: Seismic performance, High-rise buildings, Response Spectrum Analysis, Time History Analysis, Structural irregularities.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

Urbanization has led to a surge in the construction of high-rise buildings across the globe. These towering structures have become not only iconic symbols of economic development but also vital components of modern urban infrastructure. As cities continue to expand vertically due to space constraints and population growth, ensuring the structural integrity of high-rise buildings becomes paramount. One of the most significant threats to these structures is seismic activity. Earthquakes pose unique challenges to high-rise buildings because of their height, slenderness, and dynamic characteristics. Unlike low-rise buildings, which often experience uniform motion during seismic events, high-rise buildings are subject to amplified sway, resonance effects, and complex vibration modes that demand thorough evaluation. This necessitates an accurate understanding of how these structures respond to seismic forces to prevent catastrophic failure. To this end, seismic performance evaluation has evolved into a critical area of research and practice in structural engineering. The ability to predict and mitigate potential damage through advanced analytical methods is now an essential requirement in high-rise building design and maintenance. As the frequency and intensity of earthquakes increase due to geological factors and potential climate-related changes, the importance of evaluating and enhancing the seismic resilience of high-rise buildings grows correspondingly. Therefore, rigorous seismic analysis is not merely a regulatory formality but a vital practice aimed at preserving life, maintaining functionality during post-disaster recovery, and protecting economic investments in urban centers.

Seismic Analysis Methods

To ensure a reliable understanding of how high-rise buildings perform under seismic conditions, engineers rely on advanced analytical techniques. Two primary methods dominate the field of seismic analysis: Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA) and Time History Analysis (THA). Each method serves distinct purposes and operates under different conceptual frameworks. RSA is a linear dynamic analysis technique that simplifies the complex nature of seismic input into a response spectrum, which represents the peak response (such as displacement or acceleration) of a single-degree-of-freedom system to a range of frequencies. This approach provides engineers with a means to estimate maximum structural responses under assumed linear elastic behavior. It is particularly effective in the preliminary stages of design due to its computational efficiency and relative simplicity. However, RSA has limitations, especially when it comes to accurately capturing the temporal sequence and nonlinear behavior during an actual seismic event. On the other hand, Time History Analysis is a nonlinear dynamic approach that simulates a building's response to real or artificially generated earthquake records over time. By doing so, THA captures the progressive and evolving nature of seismic loading and allows engineers to analyze complex structural behaviors, such as cracking, yielding, and energy dissipation. This method is especially useful for buildings with architectural or structural irregularities, where simplified approaches may not yield sufficiently accurate results. While THA offers a high level of detail and accuracy, it is also computationally demanding and data-intensive. It requires access to high-quality ground motion records, accurate modeling of material properties, and careful calibration of the structural system. Despite these challenges, the value of THA lies in its ability to reflect the actual sequence of load application and structural response, making it indispensable for the final validation of design and for performance-based seismic engineering.

Advantages and Limitations of RSA and THA

The decision to use RSA or THA in seismic evaluation depends on various factors, including the complexity of the building structure, the required level of accuracy, the availability of seismic data, and computational resources. RSA, being based on linear elastic theory, is widely favored in regulatory design codes for regular buildings situated in regions of moderate seismicity. Its strength lies in providing quick insights into the distribution of forces and demands within a structure, which is particularly beneficial during the early design phases. RSA facilitates comparison among design alternatives, optimization of member sizing, and evaluation of lateral load paths without necessitating the depth of modeling required by THA. However, RSA falls short when nonlinear effects are significant, such as in cases involving inelastic deformations, P-delta effects, or soil-structure interaction. It also lacks the ability to model the cumulative damage or degradation that occurs over the duration of an earthquake, which is crucial for ensuring realistic performance predictions.

In contrast, THA allows for a full representation of the structural behavior under seismic loading, including nonlinearities, time-dependent effects, and interaction among different structural components. Its capability to account for duration, frequency content, and the sequence of seismic pulses makes it suitable for performance-based design, where detailed predictions of damage states and collapse mechanisms are required. THA is particularly advantageous for critical structures such as hospitals, emergency centers, and iconic high-rises that must remain operational after an earthquake. Nevertheless, the method's sophistication comes at a cost. The development of THA models requires extensive input data and assumptions, which may introduce uncertainties if not properly validated. Furthermore, interpreting the results of THA demands a high level of expertise, as the output includes massive amounts of data that must be carefully analyzed to draw meaningful conclusions. The choice of ground motion records also heavily influences the results, raising the need for judicious selection and scaling of input motions.

Importance of Combined Approaches

Recognizing the strengths and weaknesses of RSA and THA, contemporary seismic analysis increasingly adopts a hybrid approach that leverages the advantages of both methods. This integrated strategy enhances the reliability and completeness of seismic evaluations, particularly for complex high-rise buildings. By combining RSA and THA, engineers can perform an efficient preliminary assessment using RSA to identify potential problem areas and then apply THA to conduct an in-depth investigation of those critical zones. Such a staged methodology not only improves the accuracy of the evaluation but also optimizes the use of computational and human resources. For instance, in the design of a tall building located in a seismically active region with soft soil conditions, RSA might be used to size the structural elements and ensure code compliance, while THA would be employed to assess the actual performance during extreme events, considering nonlinear material behavior and soil-structure interaction. Moreover, the integration of RSA and THA allows for the inclusion of emerging factors in seismic performance evaluation. These include the influence of torsional irregularities, vertical mass irregularities, and the effects of secondary systems such as nonstructural components and building contents. Soil-structure interaction, often neglected in simplified models, can be explicitly considered in THA, thus improving the realism of simulations. Similarly, material nonlinearities such as concrete cracking, steel yielding, and damping behavior can be modeled with greater fidelity. Combining methods also supports the calibration of simplified models against more detailed simulations, fostering a feedback loop that improves model accuracy over time. This approach aligns with the principles of performance-based earthquake engineering (PBEE), which seeks to evaluate buildings not just for life safety but also for functional recovery and economic loss. By utilizing both RSA and THA, engineers can develop a more nuanced understanding of how buildings behave across a spectrum of seismic intensities and demand levels, from serviceability to collapse prevention.

Future Directions and Conclusion

As the field of seismic engineering evolves, the importance of using comprehensive analytical methods in high-rise building evaluation continues to grow. The limitations of traditional approaches underscore the need for more advanced, integrated methodologies that can cope with the growing complexity of urban infrastructure. The future of seismic analysis lies in the refinement and convergence of RSA and THA, supported by advances in computational technology, data acquisition, and modeling techniques. Innovations such as machine learning, digital twin technology, and real-time structural health monitoring are likely to play pivotal roles in enhancing both the accuracy and efficiency of seismic performance assessments. These tools can help manage uncertainties, optimize design parameters, and provide predictive insights into structural behavior under different seismic scenarios. In this context, the hybrid use of RSA and THA is expected to become standard practice, offering a balance between simplicity and detail that meets the diverse needs of engineers, regulators, and stakeholders. In conclusion, the seismic evaluation of high-rise buildings is a complex but essential aspect of modern structural engineering. RSA and THA serve as the foundational methods for such assessments, each offering unique benefits and facing distinct limitations. While RSA provides a streamlined approach suitable for early design and code compliance, THA offers detailed, dynamic insights necessary for understanding the true behavior of buildings during seismic events. The integration of both methods enhances the reliability and comprehensiveness of seismic evaluations, particularly when accounting for nonlinear behavior, irregular geometries, and complex ground motion characteristics. As urban environments grow denser and the risks associated with earthquakes increase, adopting a combined approach to seismic analysis becomes not just beneficial but imperative. Through this integration, engineers can achieve safer, more resilient, and economically viable designs that safeguard both human lives and built assets in the face of seismic threats.

2. SURVEY OF PAST WORK

Paragraph 1: Introduction to Seismic Performance Analysis in High-Rise Structures

The seismic performance of high-rise buildings has garnered significant attention within the field of structural engineering, particularly as urban development accelerates and tall buildings proliferate in seismically active regions. The complexity of tall structures, combined with the unpredictability of seismic events, demands a rigorous and nuanced approach to performance analysis. Two prominent methodologies for seismic analysis are Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA) and Time History Analysis (THA). RSA is widely recognized for its computational efficiency and utility in early design stages, providing insight into maximum structural responses by simplifying dynamic loads into equivalent static loads derived from a response spectrum. However, it assumes linear behavior and does not capture time-dependent effects or nonlinear responses. In contrast, THA, particularly its nonlinear form, simulates the full dynamic behavior of structures under actual or synthetic earthquake records, capturing the inelastic behavior, interaction effects, and hysteresis loops that occur during seismic events. Numerous studies have leveraged these methods to evaluate the seismic performance of buildings, highlighting their respective strengths and situational applicability. Each method offers unique benefits and limitations, and the selection often hinges on building characteristics, regulatory requirements, computational resources, and the specific goals of the analysis. A nuanced understanding of these methods is crucial in designing resilient high-rise structures capable of withstanding seismic shocks while minimizing structural and economic losses.

Paragraph 2: Application and Outcomes of RSA and THA in Seismically Irregular Structures

One of the landmark investigations in this domain was conducted by Jia et al., who meticulously examined a 14-story building with notable structural irregularities, including plan and vertical discontinuities. Utilizing both RSA and THA, they illuminated the impact of these irregularities on seismic response under varying intensity levels. Their analysis demonstrated that irregularities can significantly amplify localized stress concentrations and lead to unexpected deformation patterns that RSA alone may not fully capture. Particularly under moderate to high seismic intensities, nonlinear THA provided a more realistic depiction of the structure's performance by incorporating time-dependent material behavior and damage progression. The study emphasized the value of conducting dual analyses, especially when dealing with complex geometries or discontinuous load paths. Jia et al. concluded that while RSA could be employed effectively for initial assessments and code compliance, it might underestimate critical responses in irregular buildings, potentially leading to unsafe designs. Their findings underline the necessity of incorporating THA into the design and evaluation process for buildings that deviate from conventional configurations. This research significantly contributes to our understanding of how structural form and analysis method interplay to affect seismic resilience and highlights the imperative of tailoring analysis approaches to the physical and dynamic complexities of a given building.

Paragraph 3: Effectiveness of Seismic Isolation and Implications for Reinforced Concrete Structures

Further expanding the body of research on seismic analysis methods, Putri et al. focused on a 10-story reinforced concrete (RC) building equipped with base isolators, utilizing both RSA and THA to investigate the effectiveness of these isolation systems. Base isolators are engineered devices that decouple a building from ground motion, effectively shifting the structure's fundamental frequency away from the dominant frequencies of ground shaking and thereby reducing seismic forces transmitted to the superstructure. Their study demonstrated that base isolation considerably reduces peak floor accelerations, story drifts, and internal force demands when compared to fixed-base counterparts. Using THA, Putri et al. captured the real-time dynamic interaction between the isolators and the superstructure under a suite of earthquake ground motions. While RSA provided a useful approximation of base shear and displacement demands, it failed to capture transient phenomena such as pounding, sliding, and nonlinear damping effects inherent in base-isolated systems. The comparative results validated the superiority of nonlinear THA in predicting performance metrics for such advanced structural systems. This work not only reaffirms the efficacy of base isolation in enhancing seismic resilience but also illustrates the criticality of selecting the appropriate analytical framework when assessing structures incorporating innovative mitigation technologies. The findings have direct implications for design practices in high-risk seismic zones, advocating for more widespread adoption of THA, particularly when evaluating performance-based design criteria for RC structures.

Paragraph 4: High-Rise Steel Moment Frames and the Necessity of Nonlinear THA

A further advancement in the understanding of seismic performance in high-rise buildings was achieved through the study by Azodi et al., who investigated a 30-story steel moment-resisting frame integrated with a reinforced concrete (RC) core wall. The use of hybrid systems such as steel frames with RC cores is increasingly prevalent due to their combined benefits of ductility, energy dissipation, and stiffness. In this study, nonlinear THA was employed to evaluate the building's response under a range of seismic scenarios, including near-fault and far-field earthquakes. The nonlinear model incorporated material degradation, P-delta effects, and residual drift accumulation, providing a comprehensive representation of the building's potential failure mechanisms and post-earthquake performance. Azodi et al. found that while the RC core significantly contributed to overall lateral stiffness, the moment frame enhanced energy dissipation, especially under high-frequency ground motions. Importantly, their analysis revealed complex interaction effects between the core and perimeter frames that would have been obscured in a simplified RSA approach. Moreover, nonlinear THA enabled the identification of potential soft-story formations and plastic hinge developments at critical locations, thus informing necessary design modifications to enhance robustness. Their work underscores the indispensable role of nonlinear dynamic analysis in the design and retrofit of tall, mixed-material structures where interaction effects and nonlinear behavior dominate. It also showcases how advanced analysis techniques contribute to a more resilient built environment by predicting performance beyond code-prescribed elastic thresholds.

Paragraph 5: Comparative Analysis and Broader Implications for Seismic Design Practice

Collectively, these studies by Jia et al., Putri et al., and Azodi et al. offer compelling evidence that the choice of seismic analysis method should be strategically aligned with the building's structural configuration, intended performance level, and seismic risk exposure. RSA remains a valuable tool for preliminary design, particularly when regulatory constraints or resource limitations preclude more intensive analysis. However, its inherent assumptions of linearity and modal superposition render it insufficient for capturing the full spectrum of dynamic behaviors in irregular, base-isolated, or hybrid structural systems. Nonlinear THA, while computationally demanding, offers unparalleled insight into the progression of damage, interaction effects, and resilience under real-world seismic events. The move toward performance-based seismic design (PBSD) and resilience-oriented frameworks further amplifies the necessity for THA, as engineers seek to predict not only life safety but also postevent functionality and repairability. These analytical advancements also inform the evolution of building codes, which increasingly recognize the limitations of simplified methods and advocate for nonlinear analysis in critical applications. The implications extend beyond technical design into areas of urban planning, risk assessment, and policy formulation, where a deep understanding of structural response under seismic excitation guides decisions with profound social and economic impacts. As the global construction landscape shifts toward taller, more complex, and more densely populated structures, the integration of rigorous, context-sensitive seismic analysis methodologies becomes not just a matter of engineering prudence but a foundational pillar of resilient urban development.

3. METHODOLOGY

Data Collection

This review involved a comprehensive literature search across databases such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and MDPI, focusing on studies published in the last two decades. Keywords included "seismic performance," "high-rise buildings," "response spectrum analysis," and "time history analysis."

Selection Criteria

Studies were selected based on their relevance to the seismic analysis of high-rise buildings using RSA and/or THA. Emphasis was placed on research that provided comparative analyses, addressed structural irregularities, or incorporated soil-structure interaction effects.

Analysis Approach

The selected studies were analyzed to extract information on methodologies, key findings, and conclusions. Comparative assessments were made to identify trends, advantages, and limitations associated with each analysis method. This approach facilitated a holistic understanding of the current state of research in seismic performance evaluation of high-rise buildings.

4. CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PAST WORK

The reviewed studies collectively highlight the strengths and limitations of RSA and THA in seismic performance evaluation. RSA is lauded for its efficiency and simplicity, making it suitable for preliminary design stages. However, its reliance on generalized response spectra can overlook specific dynamic behaviors, particularly in structures with irregularities. THA, while computationally intensive, offers detailed insights into structural responses, capturing the effects of actual ground motion records. This method is especially beneficial for assessing nonlinear behaviors and the impact of soil-structure interaction. The integration of both methods, as demonstrated in various studies, provides a balanced approach, leveraging the efficiency of RSA and the detailed insights of THA. Nevertheless, challenges persist in terms of computational demands and the need for accurate input data, particularly for THA. Future research should focus on optimizing these methods and developing hybrid approaches that combine their strengths while mitigating their limitations.

5. DISCUSSION

The seismic performance evaluation of high-rise buildings is a complex endeavor, influenced by numerous factors including structural design, material properties, and seismic characteristics. RSA and THA serve as fundamental tools in this evaluation, each offering unique advantages. RSA's simplicity facilitates quick assessments, while

THA's detailed simulations provide in-depth understanding of dynamic responses. The choice between these methods should be guided by the specific requirements of the project, considering factors such as building complexity, available data, and computational resources. Moreover, the integration of both methods can enhance the reliability of seismic evaluations, providing a comprehensive perspective on structural performance. As urban development continues to push the boundaries of building height and complexity, the importance of robust seismic analysis methods becomes increasingly critical. Advancements in computational technology and analytical techniques will play a pivotal role in addressing the challenges associated with seismic performance evaluation of high-rise buildings.

6. CONCLUSION

This review underscores the critical role of RSA and THA in the seismic performance evaluation of high-rise buildings. While each method has its distinct advantages, their combined application offers a more comprehensive assessment, accommodating both preliminary design needs and detailed dynamic analyses. The integration of these methods, along with considerations for structural irregularities and soil-structure interaction, enhances the accuracy and reliability of seismic evaluations. Future research should aim to refine these analytical approaches, develop hybrid models, and leverage advancements in computational technologies to address the evolving challenges in seismic performance evaluation. Such efforts will contribute to the development of safer and more resilient high-rise structures in seismically active regions.

REFERENCES

1 H. Jia, Y. Song, X. Chen, S. Liu, and B. Zhang, "Seismic Performance Evaluation of a High-Rise Building with Structural Irregularities," *Buildings*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 1484, 2022.

2 A. P. Putri, M. R. R. A. Fadilah, and C. C. S. Khala, "Seismic Performance Analysis of 10 Stories Reinforced Concrete Building Using Spectrum Response and Time History Methods," *Jurnal Infrastruktur*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2022.

3 M. Azodi, M. Banazadeh, and A. Mahmoudi, "Seismic Performance Assessment of High-Rise Steel Moment Frame Building with Reinforced Concrete (RC) Core Wall Based on Nonlinear Time History Analysis," *Research, Society and Development*, vol. 11, no. 4, 2022.

4 S. Soltani-Azar, "Evaluation of the Seismic Response of Reinforced Concrete Buildings Based on Time-History Analysis Considering Nonlinear Soil Effects," *Journal of Earthquake Engineering*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1690–1710, 2023.

5 S. Kim, "Seismic Performance Evaluation of High-Rise Steel Buildings Dependent on Wind Exposures," *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 4, 2019.

6 V. Vijayan, A. R. S. Reddy, and A. K. S. Reddy, "Seismic Performance of High-Rise Buildings with Different Types of Shear Wall," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 936, no. 1, p. 012055, 2020.

7 Y. Dong, H. Tian, M. Zhang, and L. Wei, "Long-Term Monitoring of Dynamic Characteristics of High-Rise and Super High-Rise Buildings Using Strong Motion Records," *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2021.