ISSN 2277-2685
IJESR/Jan-Mar. 2025/ Vol-15/Issue-1/624-632
Manisha Gupta *et. al.*, / International Journal of Engineering & Science Research

A Critical Examination Of Graphene And Its Remarkable Physical Properties In Two-Dimensional Systems

Manisha Gupta[1], Dr. N K Swamy[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department Of Physics, ISBM University
[2]Professor, Department Of Physics, ISBM University

Abstract
Graphene, a single-atom-thick two-dimensional (2D) allotrope of carbon arranged in a hexagonal honeycomb lattice, has fundamentally transformed condensed matter physics and materials science since its experimental isolation in 2004. This paper critically examines graphene's extraordinary physical properties within the framework of two-dimensional systems, focusing on its electronic, mechanical, thermal, and optical characteristics. A systematic documentary review methodology was employed, consolidating quantitative experimental data from peer-reviewed studies published between 2004 and 2024. The central hypothesis posits that graphene's sp^2-hybridized carbon bond network and zero-bandgap Dirac fermion electronic structure collectively produce property values unmatched by any single conventional material. Results confirm electron mobility up to 200,000 $cm^2/V \cdot s$, thermal conductivity between 3,000–5,000 $W/m \cdot K$, a Young's modulus of approximately 1 TPa, and optical transmittance of ~97.7%. Comparative analysis against MoS_2, h-BN, and bulk references validates this hypothesis. Findings underscore graphene's continued scientific and technological relevance across electronics, energy storage, photonics, and nanomechanics research.

Keywords: *Graphene, two-dimensional materials, electron mobility, thermal conductivity, Dirac fermions*

1. Introduction

The isolation of graphene by Novoselov et al. (2004) through mechanical exfoliation of graphite using adhesive tape marked one of the most consequential moments in modern materials science, ultimately earning its discoverers the 2010 Nobel Prize in Physics. Graphene is a monolayer of carbon atoms covalently arranged in a planar hexagonal lattice, with a carbon–carbon bond length of 0.142 nm and a monolayer thickness of approximately 0.334 nm making it the thinnest experimentally realized material (Geim & Novoselov, 2007). Its two-dimensional character, combined with sp^2-hybridized σ-bonds and delocalized π-electron orbitals extending perpendicular to the plane, gives rise to a suite of physical properties unparalleled in both conventional and emerging materials. At the quantum mechanical level, charge carriers in graphene behave as massless Dirac fermions, traveling at a Fermi velocity of approximately 10^6 m/s a relativistic-like behavior that sharply distinguishes graphene from all three-dimensional conductors (Novoselov et al., 2005). This linear band dispersion near the six K and K′ Dirac points of the hexagonal Brillouin zone produces the anomalous half-integer quantum Hall effect observable even at room temperature, a hallmark of non-trivial electronic topology (Zhang et al., 2005). The zero-bandgap structure places graphene uniquely as a zero-overlap semimetal, simultaneously exhibiting both electron and hole charge carriers.

Mechanically, graphene is the strongest material ever experimentally tested, with a Young's modulus of approximately 1 TPa and intrinsic tensile strength of ~130 GPa roughly 200 times that of structural steel per unit cross-section (Lee et al., 2008). These properties are derived directly from the sp^2 carbon bond architecture, which produces the shortest stable C–C bonds and the highest bond dissociation energy among carbon allotropes. Thermally, suspended single-layer graphene exhibits room-temperature thermal conductivity of approximately 4,840 W/m·K, measured by optothermal Raman spectroscopy substantially exceeding copper (~385 W/m·K) and diamond (~2,000 W/m·K) (Balandin et al., 2008). Optically, graphene absorbs exactly 2.3% of incident white light per atomic layer, a value governed universally by the fine structure constant of quantum electrodynamics, yielding transmittance exceeding 97.7% (Nair et al., 2008). This confluence of high optical transmittance and excellent electrical conductivity renders graphene uniquely attractive for transparent electrode and optoelectronic device applications. Despite two decades of intensive investigation, critical questions surrounding substrate effects, defect tolerance, and scalable synthesis continue to drive the field. This paper critically synthesizes quantitative property data and contextualizes them within the current state of two-dimensional materials research (Allen et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2010).

2. Literature Review

The theoretical framework for graphene's electronic structure was established through tight-binding band calculations predicting linear dispersion at the Dirac points, implying zero effective mass for charge carriers (Castro Neto et al., 2009). This prediction was independently confirmed by Novoselov et al. (2005) and Zhang et al. (2005), who demonstrated the anomalous quantum Hall effect in monolayer graphene under magnetic field, establishing massless Dirac fermion transport as an intrinsic property. Castro Neto et al. (2009) delivered the most comprehensive theoretical treatment, computing a Fermi velocity of ~10^6 m/s and documenting the full electronic band structure at the K-point. The mechanical properties of graphene were first rigorously quantified by Lee et al. (2008) using atomic force microscopy (AFM) nanoindentation on freestanding monolayer membranes, yielding a Young's modulus of 1.0 ± 0.1 TPa and intrinsic fracture strength of 130 ± 10 GPa values corresponding to the theoretical maximum for a defect-free sp^2 carbon lattice. Bunch et al. (2007) independently characterized graphene membranes as electromechanical resonators, reporting spring constants in the range of 1–5 N/m and confirming graphene's feasibility for nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS).Thermal transport was first directly measured in suspended single-layer graphene by Balandin et al. (2008) using optothermal Raman spectroscopy, yielding a thermal conductivity of approximately 4,840 ± 440 W/m·K. Pop et al. (2012) subsequently provided a comprehensive review of graphene's thermal physics, noting that in-plane phonon transport is governed by acoustic phonon–phonon Umklapp scattering, while out-of-plane conductance is severely limited by weak van der Waals coupling with substrates, reducing conductivity to ~600 W/m·K on SiO_2. Optical characterization by Nair et al. (2008) revealed that graphene's universal optical conductance of $e^2/4\hbar$ gives rise to a per-layer absorption of exactly $\pi\alpha \approx 2.3\%$, independent of wavelength across the visible spectrum. Bonaccorso et al. (2010) extended this to photonics applications, establishing graphene as a broadband saturable absorber operational from ultraviolet to terahertz frequencies and demonstrating its utility in

ultrafast pulsed lasers. In the domain of synthesis and functionalization, Li et al. (2009) demonstrated large-area CVD growth of uniform graphene on copper foils, a breakthrough enabling scalable production. Stankovich et al. (2006) established graphene-based nanocomposites, demonstrating that graphene filler in polymer matrices yielded significant improvements in electrical conductivity and mechanical stiffness at loadings below 1 vol%. Dreyer et al. (2010) provided a systematic treatment of graphene oxide chemistry, revealing that functional group attachment disrupts π-conjugation and modifies electronic, optical, and mechanical properties. Energy applications were addressed by Stoller et al. (2008), who demonstrated graphene-based ultracapacitors achieving specific capacitances of 135 F/g in aqueous electrolyte among the highest reported for carbon-based electrode materials at the time.
Substrate effects were critically addressed by Dean et al. (2010), who demonstrated that hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) substrates, with their atomically flat, charge-neutral surface, dramatically suppress extrinsic scattering, enabling electron mobility exceeding 25,000 $cm^2/V\cdot s$ in h-BN-encapsulated graphene devices. Finally, Allen et al. (2010) and Zhu et al. (2010) provided comprehensive reviews consolidating synthesis, properties, and application trajectories, establishing graphene as a platform material requiring integration of synthesis control and interface engineering for commercial viability.

3. Objectives

1. To systematically quantify the electronic, mechanical, thermal, and optical properties of monolayer graphene in two-dimensional systems using consolidated experimental data from peer-reviewed literature published between 2004 and 2024.
2. To critically compare graphene's physical properties against competing two-dimensional materials (MoS_2, h-BN) and conventional bulk materials, identifying the specific physical mechanisms that underpin graphene's multifunctional performance.

4. Methodology

This study employs a systematic documentary review design, consolidating quantitative experimental and computational data from peer-reviewed journals indexed in Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus (2004–2024). The review protocol follows PRISMA-adapted guidelines for systematic data extraction and synthesis. Primary data were sourced from original research and review articles in *Science*, *Nature*, *Nature Materials*, *Nano Letters*, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, *Advanced Materials*, *MRS Bulletin*, and *Nature Photonics*. Inclusion required: (a) direct experimental measurement or first-principles computational study of graphene's physical properties; (b) clearly reported quantitative values with uncertainty ranges; and (c) peer-reviewed publication in internationally indexed journals. Twenty primary research and review articles were selected through multi-stage screening, covering electronic, mechanical, thermal, optical, and structural properties of graphene in two-dimensional configurations. Studies examining graphene oxide derivatives in isolation were excluded unless comparative data with pristine graphene were provided.Structured data extraction forms captured property type, measurement technique, reported numerical values with uncertainty, substrate condition (suspended vs. supported), and experimental temperature. Measurement techniques referenced include AFM nanoindentation (mechanical properties), optothermal Raman spectroscopy (thermal conductivity), Hall bar magnetotransport (electron mobility), and UV-Vis transmittance spectroscopy (optical properties). Cross-tabulation of property values across graphene morphologies (monolayer, bilayer, polycrystalline) and substrate configurations was performed. Descriptive statistical aggregation was applied to property ranges reported across multiple studies, establishing consolidated benchmark values presented in six summary data tables derived directly from published primary sources.

5. Results

Table 1: Fundamental Structural Parameters of Monolayer Graphene

Parameter	**Value**	**Measurement Technique**	**Source**
Lattice constant (a)	0.246 nm	X-ray diffraction	Geim & Novoselov (2007)
C–C bond length	0.142 nm	Electron diffraction	Castro Neto et al. (2009)
Monolayer thickness	0.334 nm	AFM measurement	Novoselov et al. (2004)
Interlayer spacing (bilayer)	0.335 nm	TEM imaging	Geim & Novoselov (2007)
Theoretical specific surface area	~2,630 m^2/g	BET/theoretical	Zhu et al. (2010)
2D mass density	0.762 mg/m^2	Gravimetric analysis	Allen et al. (2010)

Source: Geim & Novoselov (2007); Castro Neto et al. (2009); Novoselov et al. (2004); Zhu et al. (2010)

Table 1 presents the verified structural parameters that define graphene's two-dimensional character. The C–C bond length of 0.142 nm, arising from sp^2-hybridization and resonance between single and double bond character, is the origin of graphene's extraordinary in-plane rigidity. The theoretical specific surface area of ~2,630 m^2/g, accessible only in monolayer form, underpins graphene's attractiveness for energy storage, gas adsorption, and sensor applications. These foundational structural values govern all observed physical properties (Castro Neto et al., 2009).

Table 2: Electrical and Electronic Properties of Monolayer Graphene

Property	**Value**	**Condition**	**Source**
Electron mobility (suspended)	~200,000 $cm^2/V{\cdot}s$	RT, acoustic phonon limit	Bolotin et al. (2008)
Electron mobility (on SiO_2)	~40,000 $cm^2/V{\cdot}s$	RT, optical phonon limited	Bolotin et al. (2008)
Electron mobility (h-BN encapsulated)	>25,000 $cm^2/V{\cdot}s$	RT, encapsulated device	Dean et al. (2010)
Electrical resistivity	~10^{-8} $\Omega{\cdot}m$	Room temperature	Castro Neto et al. (2009)
Fermi velocity	~10^6 m/s	Near Dirac point	Novoselov et al. (2005)
Quantum Hall conductivity (σ_{xy})	$4e^2/h$ (zeroth LL)	4 K, high magnetic field	Zhang et al. (2005)

Source: Bolotin et al. (2008); Dean et al. (2010); Novoselov et al. (2005); Zhang et al. (2005)

Table 2 documents graphene's extraordinary electronic performance originating from linear Dirac band dispersion. Bolotin et al. (2008) measured theoretical mobility of 200,000 $cm^2/V{\cdot}s$ in suspended grapheme exceeding silicon (~1,500 $cm^2/V{\cdot}s$) by over two orders of magnitude. SiO_2-induced optical phonon scattering reduces this significantly, while h-BN encapsulation partially restores intrinsic behavior (Dean et al., 2010). The anomalous half-integer quantum Hall effect confirmed by Zhang et al. (2005) provides irrefutable experimental evidence of massless Dirac fermion transport.

Table 3: Mechanical Properties of Monolayer Graphene

Property	Value	Measurement Method	Source
Young's modulus	1.0 ± 0.1 TPa	AFM nanoindentation	Lee et al. (2008)
Intrinsic tensile strength	130 ± 10 GPa	AFM tip indentation	Lee et al. (2008)
2D elastic modulus	340 ± 50 N/m	AFM force-displacement	Lee et al. (2008)
Breaking strain (maximum)	~25%	AFM nanoindentation	Lee et al. (2008)
Spring constant (membrane)	1–5 N/m	AFM cantilever resonance	Bunch et al. (2007)
Strength-to-weight advantage vs. steel	~200×	Calculated	Lee et al. (2008)

Source: Lee et al. (2008); Bunch et al. (2007)

Table 3 presents definitive mechanical data from Lee et al. (2008), establishing graphene as the strongest material ever experimentally characterized. The Young's modulus of ~1 TPa and tensile strength of 130 GPa far exceed structural steel (~200 GPa modulus; ~0.4–2.5 GPa strength). The 2D elastic modulus of 340 N/m is especially relevant for membrane applications. Bunch et al. (2007) confirmed spring constants of 1–5 N/m in graphene resonators, demonstrating feasibility for nanoelectromechanical device integration.

Table 4: Thermal Properties of Monolayer Graphene

Property	Value	Condition	Source
Thermal conductivity (suspended SLG)	~4,840 ± 440 W/m·K	RT, optothermal Raman	Balandin et al. (2008)
Thermal conductivity range (suspended)	3,000–5,000 W/m·K	RT, various methods	Pop et al. (2012)
Thermal conductivity (on SiO_2)	~600 W/m·K	RT, supported	Pop et al. (2012)
Phonon mean free path	~775 nm	RT, single-layer	Pop et al. (2012)
Thermal expansion coefficient	-6×10^{-6} /K	300 K	Pop et al. (2012)
Bulk graphite in-plane conductivity	~2,000 W/m·K	Reference material	Pop et al. (2012)

Source: Balandin et al. (2008); Pop et al. (2012)

Table 4 presents thermal data confirming graphene's exceptional heat transport capabilities. Balandin et al. (2008) reported the landmark measurement of ~4,840 W/m·K in suspended graphene using optothermal Raman spectroscopy, exceeding copper (~385 W/m·K) by over tenfold. Pop et al. (2012) clarified that SiO_2 support reduces conductivity to ~600 W/m·K due to phonon leakage across the van der Waals interface a critical engineering constraint for graphene transistor thermal management design.

Table 5: Optical Properties of Monolayer Graphene

Property	Value	Condition	Source

Light absorption per monolayer	2.3%	White light, normal incidence	Nair et al. (2008)
Optical transmittance (SLG)	~97.7%	Single monolayer	Nair et al. (2008)
Optical conductance	$e^2/4\hbar \approx 6.08 \times 10^{-5}\ \Omega^{-1}$	Universal constant	Nair et al. (2008)
Reflectance	<0.1%	Freestanding monolayer	Bonaccorso et al. (2010)
Saturable absorption threshold	~1 MW/cm^2	Pulsed laser (IR)	Bonaccorso et al. (2010)
Absorption coefficient	~7×10^5 cm^{-1}	Visible range	Bonaccorso et al. (2010)

Source: Nair et al. (2008); Bonaccorso et al. (2010)

Table 5 documents graphene's unique optical properties governed by fundamental constants rather than material parameters. Nair et al. (2008) demonstrated that graphene's 2.3% per-layer absorption is fixed by the fine structure constant ($\pi\alpha \approx 2.3\%$), making it wavelength-independent across the visible spectrum. Bonaccorso et al. (2010) extended this framework to ultrafast photonics, demonstrating saturable absorption at ~1 MW/cm^2 and an absorption coefficient of ~7×10^5 cm^{-1}, enabling applications in pulsed lasers and broadband photodetectors.

Table 6: Comparative Physical Properties — Graphene vs. Two-Dimensional Peers and Bulk Materials

Property	Graphene	MoS_2	h-BN	Diamond	Silicon
Electronic band gap (eV)	0 (semimetal)	1.3–1.9	~5.97	5.47	1.12
Thermal conductivity (W/m·K)	3,000–5,000	~85	300–2,000	~2,000	~150
Electron mobility ($cm^2/V \cdot s$)	~200,000	~200–500	<10^{-9} (insulator)	~2,200	~1,500
Young's modulus (GPa)	~1,000	~270	~900	1,050	170
Tensile strength (GPa)	~130	~23	~70	60–90	~7

Source: Castro Neto et al. (2009); Dean et al. (2010); Dreyer et al. (2010); Zhu et al. (2010)

Table 6 reveals that no competing two-dimensional material currently matches graphene's simultaneous performance across all property categories. MoS_2 provides a technologically essential bandgap (1.3–1.9 eV) absent in graphene but sacrifices electron mobility and thermal conductivity. h-BN offers superior insulation and chemical stability, serving as graphene's ideal dielectric complement (Dean et al., 2010). Diamond outperforms graphene in mechanical stiffness but at prohibitive production cost. Graphene's combination of zero bandgap, ultrahigh mobility, and exceptional thermal and mechanical performance remains unrivalled (Zhu et al., 2010).

6. Discussion

The data synthesized across Tables 1 through 6 provide a rigorous quantitative evaluation of graphene's physical properties within two-dimensional systems, enabling critical assessment of both stated objectives. Concerning Objective 1 systematic quantification of graphene's properties the structural data in Table 1 confirm that graphene's C–C bond length of 0.142 nm, arising from the resonance hybrid between single and double bond character within the sp^2 network, is the structural origin of all its superlative properties. The negative thermal expansion coefficient (-6×10^{-6}/K) reported by Pop et al. (2012) reflects this bond stiffness and has direct implications for device integration under thermal cycling conditions. The theoretical surface area of 2,630 m^2/g, achievable only in monolayer form, is consistently exploited in energy storage applications (Stoller et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2010). The electronic properties in Table 2 establish that graphene's relativistic charge carrier behavior, documented by Novoselov et al. (2005) and Bolotin et al. (2008), underpins its unmatched electron mobility. The fundamental acoustic phonon scattering ceiling of 200,000 $cm^2/V\cdot s$ in ideal suspended graphene is reduced by substrate optical phonon coupling on SiO_2, a finding with critical implications for field-effect transistor design. Dean et al.'s (2010) discovery that h-BN encapsulation reduces extrinsic scattering enabling mobility exceeding 25,000 $cm^2/V\cdot s$ has since catalyzed an entire research direction in van der Waals heterostructures. Room-temperature ballistic transport over micrometer distances in encapsulated graphene, confirmed by Mayorov et al. (2011), represents a landmark experimental validation of theoretical predictions and demonstrates that graphene transistors could operate in the ballistic rather than diffusive regime.The mechanical results in Table 3 address a persistent misconception: while graphene's Young's modulus (~1 TPa) and intrinsic tensile strength (~130 GPa) are extraordinary, Lee et al. (2008) noted that real-world graphene exhibits reduced engineering strength due to grain boundaries and defects. Stankovich et al. (2006) demonstrated that even functionalized graphene sheets dispersed as composite fillers at sub-1% loading can significantly enhance the stiffness and conductivity of polymer matrices, translating atomic-scale mechanical excellence into macroscopic engineering performance. Thermal data in Table 4 confirm that Balandin et al.'s (2008) measurement of ~4,840 $W/m\cdot K$ remains among the highest thermal conductivities ever measured for any material. Pop et al.'s (2012) quantification of the drastic reduction to ~600 $W/m\cdot K$ on SiO_2 substrates reveals that managing the grapheme substrate thermal interface resistance is the dominant engineering challenge in graphene-based thermal management, not the intrinsic conductivity itself. This finding reinforces the critical importance of substrate selection and interface engineering in device fabrication. The optical data in Table 5 underscore a physically remarkable feature: graphene's absorption is entirely determined by the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c \approx 1/137$ (Nair et al., 2008). This means graphene's optical response is, in a fundamental sense, governed by quantum electrodynamics. Bonaccorso et al. (2010) demonstrated that this wavelength-independent broadband absorption makes graphene a universal photonic material, with applications spanning ultrafast lasers, solar cells, and infrared photodetectors.The comparative analysis in Table 6, addressing Objective 2, confirms the hypothesis central to this paper: no single two-dimensional or bulk material currently rivals graphene's simultaneous performance across electron transport, mechanical strength, thermal conduction, and optical response. MoS_2's bandgap advantage is critical for transistor switching but is accompanied by a 400-fold reduction in electron mobility and a 50-fold reduction in thermal conductivity. h-BN provides essential dielectric stability but is electronically inert. Silicon, the current technological baseline, is outperformed by graphene by over two orders of magnitude in electron mobility and thermal conductivity (Castro Neto et al., 2009; Dreyer et al., 2010; Dean et al., 2010). The hypothesis is robustly validated: graphene's sp^2 network and Dirac fermion behavior jointly produce multifunctional property values without precedent in any single material class.

7. Conclusion

This paper has critically examined the physical properties of graphene in two-dimensional systems through systematic synthesis of primary experimental and computational data. Results confirm monolayer graphene's unmatched performance: electron mobility up to 200,000 $cm^2/V\cdot s$, thermal conductivity of ~4,840 $W/m\cdot K$ in suspension, Young's modulus of ~1 TPa, intrinsic tensile strength of ~130 GPa, and optical transmittance of ~97.7% all substantially exceeding those of competing 2D materials and conventional bulk references. The hypothesis that graphene's sp^2-hybridized carbon lattice and massless Dirac fermion behavior collectively generate this multifunctional superiority is fully validated. Practical constraints, including substrate-induced property degradation, zero-bandgap limitation, and grain boundary-induced brittleness, remain active research challenges. Future work must prioritize defect-controlled synthesis, heterostructure substrate engineering, and bandgap opening strategies to translate intrinsic property values into scalable device performance.

References

1) Allen, M. J., Tung, V. C., & Kaner, R. B. (2010). Honeycomb carbon: A review of graphene. *Chemical Reviews*, 110(1), 132–145. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900070d
2) Balandin, A. A., Ghosh, S., Bao, W., Calizo, I., Teweldebrhan, D., Miao, F., & Lau, C. N. (2008). Superior thermal conductivity of single-layer graphene. *Nano Letters*, 8(3), 902–907. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0731872
3) Bolotin, K. I., Sikes, K. J., Jiang, Z., Klima, M., Fudenberg, G., Hone, J., Kim, P., & Stormer, H. L. (2008). Ultrahigh electron mobility in suspended graphene. *Solid State Communications*, 146(9–10), 351–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2008.02.024
4) Bonaccorso, F., Sun, Z., Hasan, T., & Ferrari, A. C. (2010). Graphene photonics and optoelectronics. *Nature Photonics*, 4(9), 611–622. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.186
5) Bunch, J. S., van der Zande, A. M., Verbridge, S. S., Frank, I. W., Tanenbaum, D. M., Parpia, J. M., Craighead, H. G., & McEuen, P. L. (2007). Electromechanical resonators from graphene sheets. *Science*, 315(5811), 490–493. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136836
6) Castro Neto, A. H., Guinea, F., Peres, N. M. R., Novoselov, K. S., & Geim, A. K. (2009). The electronic properties of graphene. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(1), 109–162. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.109
7) Dean, C. R., Young, A. F., Meric, I., Lee, C., Wang, L., Sorgenfrei, S., Watanabe, K., Taniguchi, T., Kim, P., Shepard, K. L., & Hone, J. (2010). Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5(10), 722–726. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.172
8) Dreyer, D. R., Park, S., Bielawski, C. W., & Ruoff, R. S. (2010). The chemistry of graphene oxide. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 39(1), 228–240. https://doi.org/10.1039/B917103G
9) Geim, A. K., & Novoselov, K. S. (2007). The rise of graphene. *Nature Materials*, 6(3), 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1849
10) Lee, C., Wei, X., Kysar, J. W., & Hone, J. (2008). Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. *Science*, 321(5887), 385–388. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1157996
11) Li, X., Cai, W., An, J., Kim, S., Nah, J., Yang, D., Piner, R., Velamakanni, A., Jung, I., Tutuc, E., Banerjee, S. K., Colombo, L., & Ruoff, R. S. (2009). Large-area synthesis of high-quality and uniform graphene films on copper foils. *Science*, 324(5932), 1312–1314. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171245

12) Mayorov, A. S., Gorbachev, R. V., Morozov, S. V., Britnell, L., Jalil, R., Ponomarenko, L. A., Blake, P., Novoselov, K. S., Watanabe, K., Taniguchi, T., & Geim, A. K. (2011). Micrometer-scale ballistic transport in encapsulated graphene at room temperature. *Nano Letters*, 11(6), 2396–2399. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl200758b
13) Nair, R. R., Blake, P., Grigorenko, A. N., Novoselov, K. S., Booth, T. J., Stauber, T., Peres, N. M. R., & Geim, A. K. (2008). Fine structure constant defines visual transparency of graphene. *Science*, 320(5881), 1308. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1156965
14) Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., Grigorieva, I. V., & Firsov, A. A. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306(5696), 666–669. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102896
15) Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Katsnelson, M. I., Grigorieva, I. V., Dubonos, S. V., & Firsov, A. A. (2005). Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature*, 438(7065), 197–200. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04233
16) Pop, E., Varshney, V., & Roy, A. K. (2012). Thermal properties of graphene: Fundamentals and applications. *MRS Bulletin*, 37(12), 1273–1281. https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2012.203
17) Stankovich, S., Dikin, D. A., Dommett, G. H. B., Kohlhaas, K. M., Zimney, E. J., Stach, E. A., Piner, R. D., Nguyen, S. T., & Ruoff, R. S. (2006). Graphene-based composite materials. *Nature*, 442(7100), 282–286. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04969
18) Stoller, M. D., Park, S., Zhu, Y., An, J., & Ruoff, R. S. (2008). Graphene-based ultracapacitors. *Nano Letters*, 8(10), 3498–3502. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802558y
19) Zhang, Y., Tan, Y. W., Stormer, H. L., & Kim, P. (2005). Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *Nature*, 438(7065), 201–204. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04235
20) Zhu, Y., Murali, S., Cai, W., Li, X., Suk, J. W., Potts, J. R., & Ruoff, R. S. (2010). Graphene and graphene oxide: Synthesis, properties, and applications. *Advanced Materials*, 22(35), 3906–3924. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201001068